UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER
AD845944
NEW LIMITATION CHANGE
TO Approved for public release, distribution unlimited
FROM Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Critical Technology; NOV 1968. Other requests shall be referred to Commanding Officer, Fort Detrick, Attn: SMUFD-AE-T, Frederick, MD 21701.
AUTHORITY
SMUFD, D/A ltr, 17 Feb 1972

BV

TRANSLATION NO. 2357

DATE: Star. 1968

DDC AVAILABILITY NOTICE

This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of Commanding Officer, Fort Detrick, ATTN: SMUFD-AE-T, Frederick, Md. 21701.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY Fort Detrick Frederick, Maryland



Best Available Copy

THE PATRICULARSIS OF EMBORETRIOSIS

Translation Mo.

::0V_1B_R 1968

U. S. ALY BIOLOGICAL LUBOATORIES FORT DETRICK, FREDERICK, MUYLUMD

The Parion ends of endselerosis

(A historical-critical treatise of the discovery by Fround and Recklinghousen to the transactic theory with extensive discussion)

(Following is the translation of an article by Dr. Harald Petri, published in the German Language periodical Zeitschrift fur Geburtshilfe und Gynakologie, vol 138, 1953, pages 16-34. Translation performed by C. L. Lust.)

A historic-critical treatise of the various theories on the pathogenesis of endometriosis is very appropriate now because very little doubt exists about the clinical picture of the illness. Symptomology, diagnosis and therapy are largely well characterized, especially so since the last report of Bonordon. The present pathogenic view of andometric heterotypes is established and is associated with no uncertainties. Now and again one does hear the serosaepithelial metaplastic view, but generally the view is accepted of implantation-genesis, for which Philipp and Huber showed via cuts through the andometrically altered tubes and further proved the hematogenic embolic way. This has been referred to since 1937 as traumatic genesis.

It is therefore a stimulating task to elucidate the historic develpments of the pathogenic views of the discovery of "Adenomyome and
Lystadonome of the uterus-and tube change" by Treund and Mecklinghausen
and up to the present. I have already referred to this in "On the traumatic pathogenesis of Andomet" in Z. Geburtsh (1949) vol. 131 #3, page
268.

Sovon theories evolved to explain the appearance of andometric proliferation: 1) dysontogenetic theory of Freund and Recklinghausen about fetal organs; 2) vessel-endothelial theory; 3) lymphogenetic metastosis after Halban; h) derivation of serosaepithilium (Zoelo epithelium) from metaplasia (Neyer, Lauche, Tobler, Hueter); 5) implantation of Andomet fragments via retrograde transport (Sampson); 6) Philipp and Hubers implantation theory with source of interstitial tube constituents and their hematologicombolic view; 7) traumatic pathogenesis (Petri).

Fround and Recklinghausen believed (1891-1896) that Andomet was a dysontogenetic phenamenen. This was shortly after the first report about this topic. Under certain conditions fetal organ rests should preliferate in the adult. Kidney tissue was thought to be especially important. The authors differentiated among three groups; Adenomyomen, which referred to the fetal kidney; and two others Wolff-duet and duet of Mueller. The position of Adenomyosis and the dersal wall of the uterus and on the dersal side and outer layer of the tube angle the authors contend that a crossover occurrs between duet of Mueller and the fetal kidney. In this way these latter two parts could marge. The tube endometrics lateral to the tube angle they saw as a destroyed portion of the kidney system. They firther speak of a parcophoral andenomycosis of the uterus. They recognized inguinal endometrics is

and connect it with the capsule of the fetal kidney. From the lower angle of the Welff-body a band stretches to the inguinal canal, which is known to become the Gubernaculum Munteri (responsible for descending of the testicals) in men and the liq. ovari and liq. notundum uteri in women. The liq. retundum, inserted outside on the outer wall over the tuberculum publicum, can drag along the Wolff-body from the kidney tissue, and they can then corve as a starter for new formations. These authors also connect the andometric proliferations from the membrane of the Mueller duct. This is also clearly reproduced in "Pathologic anatomy" by Kauffmann. Mecklinghausen also mentions retrocervical adenomyoms, which he feels are from serosaepithelium. The authors assumed that fetal kidney remains react the same in the adult female in the menstrual cycle as the normal endemetrium. Others followed the views of these authors. Fick believed that peritoneum of mature women has the ability to proliferate due to andometric infiltration from fetal tissue remains. Franquee describes an andometrosis retrocervicalis and explains the proliferation either directly due to the Douglassorosa or from remains of the duct of faueller. A similar view is held by Lahn. He sees the reason for the proliferation because of a dysfunction of the overy and postulates a hormonal theory. R. Heyer referred to this view as a "fashionable observation". Kanther believed a tumor in the lig. rotundum is the germinal layer of Muel er-duct epitholium. Bulzer supports the dysantogenic zoolomopithelial histogenesis, especially the influence of ovary-hormones, for intraaddominal and other andometricses. Even Scitz noted that internal endometrioses could be caused by residues of the duct of Gartner. Schaerr and Scheidegger also believe and onet cannot be cleared up yet unequivocally; a fact which is similar in many ways to the germinal kidney theory. H. Meyer stated that he did not agree with the hypothesis presented by the discoveries since neither macroscopic or histological tests have produced unequivocal findings. Extensive developmental studies now lead one to reject the kidney theory.

The so-called vessel-endothelial theory has always played an unimportant role. When the germinal-kidney theory became under fire, new explanations were sought feverishly. This "new-found" theory was based on the fact that encometric tumors contain many blood vessesl. The fact that this was well grounded for andometrioses was not known widely at that time. This theory further stressed the dependance on ovarian hormones. Manzi thought he had evidence against the new theory. He made transplants from uterus tissues into veins and also injected ground-up membranes into arteries. He always obtained negative results, therefore he said that no basis existed for epithelial elements from uterus in the renalendothelium. Spiritos's work was also inthis vein. He placed fine elements of membranes into the peritoneal cavity of rabbits. He did not obtain cytologic or adenomyotic formations. The membranes were changed into a fibrius-like mass infiltrated with leukocytes. In this way he meant to prove that endometric particles, in the blood and lymb canals were not capable of developing further; and that they degenerated which then made a homatogenic or lymphatic metastasis impossible. He found that the tissue placed in the peritoneum implanted itself well and proliferated. According to Spirito the peritoneum and the genitalia are excellent places for proliferation to occur.

though halban, its originator, was well respected. He conceived the expression: Mysteroadenosis metastatical Ho meant that groups of cells loosened themselves within the uterus and were transferred via lymphatic chemnels. The cells, however, retained their activity. Halban's theory was not accepted by Mastitz. Seitz was also opposed; he thought that a passive transfer of endometrium in lymph ducts was important. Halban's work was later generally not accepted. It was then emphasized that a close histological relationship was never established between tumors and lymphatics for this disease; furthermore in lymph nodes no endometric tissue has been found.

roday we must say that the thoughts of the doubters of the vesselendothelial and lymphogenic theory lacked only histological evidence. They were apparently on the correct path. Their theories have to be recognized today with some reservations; more about this later.

The thesis of R. Meyer represents an important turning point. He showed that all heterologous epithelial proliferations against the body were common, and that the special hormonal influence and sexual related special forms of paritoneal-epitholium heterotropy do not lead to their exclusion from independent heterotopies. He thought that the proliferations on the epithelial serosa originated there. He thought that inflammation alone was responsible for initiation. This is also important later for spreading and epithelial proliferation as well as the nature of the strona. The specific hormone influence of the evary is not the cause of the growth, but is the cause for the peculiar, special, endometric nature of the membrane in the proliferating tissue. R. Meyer said that the cause of proliferation is solely inflammation. R. Meyer discredits Sampsons retrograde implantation-theory as an "adventurous explanation". As for the tube endometriesis, he said that the accumulation is not likely, especially since Novak showed that the ostium uterinum tubac were often much too small to pass these particles. Via inflammatory metaplasia a heteroplastic membrane results at these places. This heteroplastic, endometric condition of the tubes has no practical value in the layer's view. The ovum will still implant itself in the tube of its designation when the time is ripe. I pointed out in 1937 that a tube, whose wall is the same as the endometrium, the normal growth implantation dodium for the ovum, was in effect an unchanged tube (Philipp and Huber). Andometriosis according to Mayer originates primarily from the scrosa; the causative factor is inflammation and only later the influence of the ovarian secretions. This view became whicely accepted, because it was felt this was an enlightened solution of this problem. Vassmer didn't believe on transfer of uteralmucosa in laparotomy-grains, but thought the origin in normal serosa. He also spoke similarly of andenomyosis in Douglas and generally refers to the frequent inform epithelial dystopy in women. R. deJosselin de Jong believed all proliferation to deviation of the Zoeloepithelium and thus comes closer to the primal-kidney theory because he believes these divations are capable of forming Muellor-duct type tissues. Stuebler and Hasuber decline Sampson's view. Ovarian hematemas are considered formations on the bottom of the adenofibrosis which did not originate via implantation. of endometriesis, but surface spithelium of evary itself. Artusi discusses

a non-enfront causal gamesis and dicuses the cause of inflammatious, infectious, toxic, malformed, and hormonal metobolic. Fischel supports Mayor and emphasizes the derivation of the peritoneum and mucosa from the same garminal layer. He talks about hormonal dysplastic irritations. Bortkichtisch supports Mayor. Ochlecker classifies Mayor's view under the Lauches modification. The proliferations start in the peritoneum. The sweat-gland in this area alter themselves into tumor like fibromycoademomas under the increased ovarian hor ones. The question of etiology for vesiculat endometriosis he does not resolve: Perhaps one can assume games or fetal organ residues. Kitai emphasized the common genesis for entra -+ intraperitoneal herd in the sense of Mayor. Seitz assumes the serosa epithelial theory for endometriosis. The parts from the zeolom-epithelium also form a endometric character in the post menstrual life of a woman.

Hein believes in the serosa epithelial theory. The heterotopes arise on the spot from mesenchymal tissue elements. He thought on the mesenchyms in the region of cloacal cut of the earlier embryonic zeolom cavity. With Heim a new era of Meyer's theory begins; now all heterotopes can be explained. In recent times the very rare extremes - further endometriosis - can be explained with an expanded Heim theory. Hankin, Mayratil and Kramer and michl could find no explanation (1935-30).

At the beginning of his work, Samoson's view was not accepted. The serosa epithelial theory was not tenable based on the personality of the originator, etc. Sampson was bothered by the fact that endometriosis interna, a growth of normal endometrium, and the exterma (equivalent clinically, physiologically and anatomically) showed originals in the same way. He sought to find a connection between the endometriosa externa and normal endometrium. He concluded that the adeno-fibroses that are not in contact with membranes can originate from endomatric particles. These particles reach the peritoneal cavity via the tubes during menstration and become implanted there. It was essentially the theory of menstrual retrograde progression. This he thought explained endometrosis in nature females, while Weyer's theory was the reverse. Why an inflammatory heteroplasia does not appear in young girls and old women? It comes from the uterus if any normal drainage is blocked. It flows back into the peritoncal cavity. Bersten also causes the seeding of menstrual endometrium on the surface of ovaries. He said the menstrual blood contains viable endometrium which is capable of implanting. The peritoneum and ovum are particularly suited. Samson further postulates whether gynacological operations or investigations could injure the membranes of the uterus (forceps, ventrofixation). Forceps could relocate material so that it could develop into endometric heterotopy. I myself substantiated this phenomenon once in the uterus. Also rests of suture material could be demonstrated in the mucous endometriosis (not to be confused with foreignbofy granulomas). The teaching of operative transfer and seeding brought Sampson recognition. He is today still recognized as a pioneering spirit in this area. Wielod recognized retrograde transport of cellular material in monotration. Rosenstein expounds the view of implantation of scar endometeri. Christopher deserved an inquinal endometriosis and thought

that normal endometrium had been transported via tubes to the stomach wall and reached the inquinal area. Katz and Szenes saw the cause in the tubes. Ricek said the preliferations are dependent on the cyclic conditions of the ovaries, the hormones are specific and affect no other numbrane in cyclic fashion. It concerns implants of uterus. Ricek said, "Without endometrium, no endometricosis." Baor succeeded in seeing free uterus-nucous-numbrane in the lumen of tubes during operations (objective demonstration). Frankl believes in machanical, genetic moments for internal endometricosis. Harbitz thought that implantations occur after hysterectomy during early enginency. Albrecht believes seeding. Dietrich-retrograde movement via tubes. Hacusermann observed a scar end after pertubation. Ricek and Hucter saw scar end after extra peritoncal cuts.

Sampson received a big boost in his teachings when R. Meyer supported him. At one time Meyer called his ideas "adventurous"; now he says it is right. He declined the genesis of the serosa and believes the implantation via the tubes (of transported uterus membrane). He still believes his suresa epithelial theory for extraperitoneal endometricsis. Vogt supports Sampson. He notes that the formation of the illness could also be of importance for the artificial abortions. This may be why endometriosis is more widespread than in Germany. He calls it a "cultural disease". Holinongo recognizes the implantation theory. Sauer does also. Seitz decided partly for Mayor and partly for Sampson, and partly for mulban's kidney theory. Joseph also believes implantation for bladder endometriosis. Haselhorst believes Sampson. In regards to scar endometriosis he decides for transfer or invasion. The scar disease appears only after gynocolic operations, after the uterus was opened, or a tube was excized. Scars don't always heal well in the uterus and sometimes sores occur and membrane-pieces are embedded into surrounding tissues during uterine movement. This is active transport. Uland epithelium may also proliferate along the fistulas by itself. This may be invasion as may occur in endometricsis intorna. After abrasions a passive transport of endometrium into myometrium must be considered. Haselhorst recognizes three types of transfer: invasion, implantation, transplantation. Fatyas reported an endometriosis interna of proliferation of endometrium in the musculature. This may go through the peritoneum and can lead to various heterotopes of the cavity. Ottow observed statistically that of 353 cases of ventrofixation operated as per Leopold-Czerny, 16 adenomyosis occurred: of 1000 other cases of gynocologic operations only one (1) endometriosis occurred.

experiments in animals supported Sampson. Fuchs, Harbitz, Zelecki are to be mentioned here. Harbitz made endometric transplants (autotransplants) in rabbits and always obtained positive results. Fuchs had one negative results. He did not get implantation in his one case. He did observe that in castrated animals the transplants did not take. The well-known trials of Fuchs and Schmidt of menstration after total exterpation are widely recognized.

R. Mayer's later view of the recognition of implantation in IP heterotopy but not for extra paritoneal endometrics was seriously jeopardized with scar disease, which is extra paritoneal. This created an extremely infavorable picture since much confusion existed here, since operations

in the peritoneal cavity without involving genitalie epitholial scar disease never develops. Sampson's view is also on solid ground in microscopic evidence of proliferation and differentiate between normal scross and cyclic tissue. If operated on in dysmonorrhoic difficulties one finds completely formed endometricid tissue. Such a metaplasia cannot be formed evernight according to Sampson. We definitively find the beginning of endometrics around 20 years. The further removed from the uterus, the fewer (seldom) the endometricsis. The tubes themselves and their immediate environment are most often involved.

The discussion up to now, although not exaustive, I have presented the history of endometriosis research in its broadest aspects. It seemed useful to compare side by side the writings of several of the older authors, especially if their contributions are still recognized. Now I should discuss the "traumatic" theory, which I first reported in 1937 before I had knowledge of the epoc-making work of Philipp and Huber (1939). To start: the implentation theory of Philipp-Huber, I can probably be short here since I assume that most experts in the area are very keenly acquainted with many details of this. In Zbl. Gynack. vol 1+9 (1939) Huber and Philipps reported histological sections of tubes. Over 20,000 scral and stepsise sections provide the evidence for a connection of adenomyosis tubae and andometrium corneris. Both authors are displeased with the Sampson theory. They admit that a small part of the endometriosis are free in the intestitial spaces of tubes. These represent women with intrautorine problems at admission. This may have been effected by mechanical transportation via various operational procedures. This explanation is still fraught with difficulties had it not been for a fortuitous occurrance. They found in their sections, pictures that clearly presented evidence for a hematogenic transfer. Endometric tissue reached blood vessels and was carried off. To implant these endometric particles a minimum level of hormone is needed. In the old literature all cases were represented by a cossation of prognancy (abortion). It developed neither because of proliferation in the utorine region or because of implantation. In the remaining 80% of cases, endometric tissue is transported away and implanted. Sometimes heterotopic colonies result in the peritoneum without affecting the interstitial parts of tubes. The normal carrying path is as always. Soread may occur via hematologic ways. A lymphetic spread is very likely also particularly in light of modern theory and research.

During a critical review of the total endometrics literature, I recognized in 1937, that Sampson's implantation theory had no general validity. Above all, I recognized that eteologically a trauma in a very general sense must be assumed in order to bring the various disease-pictures into a common frame work. I did not believe the so-called far-distribution; the Muber-data was still forthcoming. On this theory the postulation that the desquamous, menstrual endometrial residues are starters for heterotopic proliferation was difficult to understand. Houever, based on experimental work of Fuchs, Schmidt and others, I recognized the implantation theory, also evidence of endometric growth in laparotomy scars after operations on the uterus or tubes. Here intact, viable tissue was transferred, grew and reacted as the endometrium. Morkers prior to

Sampson repeated this argument much too little. The generally accepted post operative implantation appeared to be the bridge to all other heterotopies. Thus as in scar-endometriosis, the other endometriosis had to be explained similarly.

- a) Into the group of operative-caused endometrics a very common occurrance must be put; the appearance of intraabdominal fori after uterus perforations. This may lead to transfer directly, or if the perforation is not closed (not noticed or bleeding stopped) or purposely leaves it open, this can lead to secondary effects on the peritoneum. An explanation for instrumental transfer.
- b) For the genesis of endometriosis interna, under heterotopy, I came to the following view (with Kleine). Active implantation based on disturbances. Normally the endometrium cannot penetrate into the myometrium. The myometrium is naturally resistant so that this resistance must be broken in order to allow proliferatory or regenerating endometrium to enter. Myometrial resistance is weakened by abrasions, scraping, etc. If interna breaks through serosa it becomes externa. In this way endometriosis can develop of intestine and bladder.
- c) During operations heterotopy can occur via transplantation. During operative removal of chocolate-cysts, often the cysts burst during the operation and the contents spill out. In this way endometrium could come into the peritoneum, eg. during operations of the lower stomach.
- d) In scar endometricsis, the scar must be injured. I proved this clinically in several cases. Of course, it remained unclear whether this was primary or secondary. It may be that accumulated endometrium, even if it is small, may represent a foreign body in the region and cause a loosening of tissue. This is probably similar in heterotopy. In fresh scar tissue a hernia may result. This is of general importance in this area of work.
- e) For septum endometricsis, which is mentioned seldom, I saw the so-called traumatic-birth-damage as ctiology much as was prescribed by Hilgenfeldt for another disease. In all septum endometricsis, births preceded it or gynocologic procedures were done. The birth or the gynocologic tests may cause fips in the membranes and this may lead to implantation, which can eventually become very prolific centers and then septum endometricsis.

At the center of my thoughts was always the work of a clear proof that endometrics did not develop from menstrual desquamous rests, but that transfer occurred instrumentally in the general sense. Many factors are grouped together under the general heading "traumatic pathogenesis". By views of 1933 have been more firmly established because of Philipp-Hubers work about implantation. The so-called extraperitoneal endometrics can now be explained readily. Meyer believed on metaplasia, Sampson expounded his own theory, Hilgenfeldt proved some work experimentally, but the implantation theory must be accepted. But, why are the peritoneal wall, navel and groin involved? It is an old surgical fact that foreign bodies of the peritoneum are expelled (perforate) in the area of navel and groin.

The wall is thinner here than in other areas. Indometrics acts as a foreign buy in paritonoun. I could prove some of these facets in experimental fact. In navel endometria, I found long stringy threads from the preliferation to the paritonoum. Hilgenfeldt and other authors also reported connecting tissue of this type.

The fact of implantation was firm; the menner was problematical.
Only frush, viable endometrium is transferred and implanted. Also endometricals is recognized as a modern illness (cultural disease). Without doubt, the disease is more provalent after 1900. Very likely post operative endometrics is and spontaneous metrics were there carlier, but not to the entent of later. The methods of gynocology introduced new facets. For the same reasons the interna-disease was rarer. The distribution to arm, log and thorax can be easily explained on the basis of hematologic transfer. As a precipitating factor trauma plays an important role.
Abortion or interupting pregnancy may be one severe trauma.

The fact that our knowledge of the traumatic genesis of endometric heterotopy may be used prophylactically can be ascertained from the recent literature.

References

Albrecht, Über peritoneale Endometriosen. Arztl. Verein München 16. Dez. 31. - Ders., Behandlung der rektovaginalen Endometriose. Tagung der Bayr. Ges. f. Geburtsh. u. Gynük. 12. Febr. 33. - Ders., Zur Frage der Büsartigkeit der Endometriosis rectouterina. Arch. Gynak Bd. 155, Heft 1, S. 34. - Artusi, Über kausak Cenese der adenomyomatösen Wucherung des weiblichen Genitalapparates. Arch. Gynäk. Bd. 123, S. I. - Baer, Freie Uterusschleimhaut in der Tuise, Zhl. Gynäk. Bd. 52, S. 32. - Balzer, Über heterotope endometrische Wucherungen. Zbl. Gynäk. Bd. 2, S. 29; cach Arch. klin. Chir. Bd. 147, S. 3. - Becker, Zwei Fälle von Adenomyositis uteri et recti. Zhl. Gyašk. Bd. 18, H. 19, S. 20, - Biebl, Bauchferne Endometriose am Unterarm und ihre Bedeutung für eine neue Lehre von einer einbeitlich mesendrymalen Genese der Endometriosen allgemein. Zl.l. Chir. 1938, S. 18. -Banarden, Zur Frühdiagnastik der Endometriosis ovarii. Disch. med. Wichr. Bd. 15, S. 49. - Dera, Zur Frage der Operationsmethode in der Behandlung der intraperitonealen Endometriose. Disch. med. Wschr. Bd. 11, S. 50. - Bortkiewitsch, Beitrag zur Kenntnis der sogenannten Adenomyonie des weiblichen Cenitaltraktus. Arch. Cynak. Bd. 101, H. 3, S. 14. - Brakemann, Experimentelle Studien über Endometriumverpflanzung. Bayr. Ges. f. Geburtsh. u. Gynäk. 3, Fehr. 29.

- v. Burg., Zur Frage der Adeuomyosis interna. Zhl. Cynāk. Bd. 90, H. S. S. 28. -Callier, Ober Endometriumexplantation. Zbl. Gynak. Bd. 1, S. 28. - Christopher, Endometriamgewebe in der Leistenbeuge. Zhl. Chir. Bd. 32, S. 28. -Counseller, Zbl. Cynik. 1940, S. 1896. - Brodsky, Ein Fall von kombinierter Endonsetriose der Bauchwandnarhen und des Lig. rotundum uteri. Zhl. Gnyak. Bd. 5. S. 33. - Dietlein, Die Bedeutung der heterotopen Epithelwucherungen vom Bau der Uteruschleimhaut für die Chirurgie. Zhl. Chir. Bd. 22, S. 27. -Dietrich. Lehrhuch der speziellen Pathologie, 2. Teil, S. 70. - Dougal, Zhl. Gynäk. 1940, S. 1898. - Eberhard, Endometriose der Humblase. Zbl. Cynäk. Bd. 28, S. 32 - Ewald. Endometriose des Wurmfortsatzes. Z. Geburtsh. Bd. 108, II. 3, S. 31, auch Zhl. Chir. Bd. 22, S. 33. - Fels, Endometriose der Portio. Zhl. Gynik, Bd. 5, S. 25. — Frankl, Zur Klinik und Pathologie der Adenomyosis. Zbl. Gynik, Bd. 7, S. 22. — Ders., Bemerkungen zur Pathologie der Adenomyosis uteri. Zhl. Gynak. Bd. 15, S. 32. - Freund und v. Recklinghausen, Zystadenome und Adenomyome des Uterus und der Tubenwand. 1896. - Frommolt, Zwei Fälle von Adenomyosis der weiblichen Blase. Zhl. Gynäk, Bd. 19, S. 27. - Ders. Fin Fall von Blasenendometriose, Zhl. Cynik. Bd. 28, S. 32. - Fullas, Zhl. Cynik. 1941, S. 1909. - Fuchs, Künstliche Zervix-Endometriose. Zhl. Gynäk, Bd. 16, II. 17, S. 35. - v. Franguée, Schwangerschaft während des Bestehens von Endo-netriosis retrocervicalis, Arch. Cynik, Bd. 157, H. 4, S. 34. - Fürst und Skorpil, Chirurgisch wichtige Lokalisationen der heterotopen Endometriosen. Arch. klin. Chir. Bd. 179, H. 4. — v. Gaza, Über Endometriose des Colon sigmoideum. Med. Ges. z. flustock am 8. Febr. 34. - Couillond, Die Endometriese in Laparatomienarben. Zhi. Chir. Bd. 35, S. 28. - Guleke und Schickele, Zur Diagnose und Operation der Adenomyositis des Beckenbauchfells, Arch. Gynäk, Bd. 107, H. 2, S. 18, -Habbe. Ober einen Fall von endometrischer Wucherung am Nabel. Zhl. Gynäk. ikl. 13, S. 31. - Hacussermann, Endometrische Wucherung in Laparotomienurbengewebe nach Pertubation. Zbl. Gynäk. Bd. 45. - Halban, Hysternadenosis metastitica. Arch. Gynäk. Bd. 124, S. 2. - II am ant, Endometrischer Zustand, tuhare Endometriose und Endometrium. Zbl. Chir. Bd. 32, S. 31. - Hanke, Uber Endonetriose des Nabels, Arch. klin. Chir. Nr. 66, Bd. 1, H. 2, S. 31. - Harbitz, Endometrium und Transplantation. Zhl. Chir. Bd. 7, S. 34. - Ders., Clinical pathogenetic and experimental investigations of endometriosis. Acta thir, scand, Stockha Bd. 74, H. 30, S. 34. - Haselhorst, Über das Krankheitsbild der Adenomyosis. Arzil. Verein Hamburg am 22. Jan. 29. - Ders., Zur Klinik und Genese der Endometriose, Z. Geburtsh, Bd. 101, H. 2, auch Zhl, Chir. Bd. 11, S. 33. - Ders., Endometriose, thre Klinik und Genese. Med. Ges. z. Rostock am 22. Febr. 34. - Heim, Zur Frage der Endometriumkultur. Zhl. Cynäk. Bd. 15, S. 28. - Ders., Zhl. Cynäk. 1942, S. 285. — Ders., Zur Pathogenese der Endometriose. Internat. Congres voor Verleskunde en Gynaecologie, Amsterdam 1938. - Ders., Über die Entwicklung der Endometriose an Ort und Stelle. Arch. Cynak. 1933. - Il eimann, Schokoladensystem, Z. Geburtsh, Bd. 108, S. 138, - Heyman, Strahlentherapie Bd. 37, H. 3, S. 30. - Heynemann, Zbl. Gynäk. 1939, S. 1. - Hilgenfeldt, Zwei Fülle von Endometriose der Leistengegend. Köln. Chir. Verein am 13. Dez. 33. - Hinrich sin eyer, Endometriose als Ursache aligemeiner Peritonitis. Zbl. Chir. Bd. 18, S. 30. — Hinterstoisser, Adenomyosis des hinteren Scheidengewölles. Zhl. Chir. Bd. 34, S. 20. - Hoffmann, Ober die hormonale Behandlung der Endometriosebeschwerden. Geburtsh. v. Frauenhk. 1948, Bd. 12. - Hohlbaum, Teerzysten des Ovars. Med. Ges. Leipzig am 24. Nov. 1934. - Hollmann, Über das Vorkommen von Uterusschleimhaut in der Leistenbeuge. Bruns' Beitr. Bd. 135, H. 1,

S. 25. - v. Jaschke, Zur Symptomatologie und Diagnose der Endametriosis interna. Zbl. Gynäk. Bd. 32. S. 33. - Dera., Geburtsh. u. Frauenhk. 1948. S. 789. -Juseph, Blasenendometriuse. Zhl. Chir. Bd. 2, S. 30. - De Jusselin de Jong, Sulmeröse Adenomyomatose des Dünndarms. Virdiows Arch. Bd. 211, H. 1, S. 2. -Ders., Über die Endometriose des weiblichen Genitale, Virdows Arch. Bd. 257, H. 1. S. 2. - Kahr, Lehrhuch: Konservative Behandlung der Frauenkrankheiten. Kanther, Zur Genese der Adenomyosis des Lig. rotundum. Mschr. Geburtsh. Bd. 63, H. 6, S. 23. - Katx und Szenes, Untersuchung über Verpflanzung von Endometrium. Z. Geburtsh. Bd. 90, H. 1, S. 26. - Kaufmann, Lehrbuch der speziellen pathologischen Anatomic. S. 872, 982-984. - Kirchner, Eine seltene Lokalisation der Adenomyose. Zbl. Chir. Bd. 37, S. 33. - Kitai, Über Adenomyohyperplasie, Arch. Cynlik, Bd. 126, H. 2, S. 3. - Ders., Beitrag zur Anatomie und Gemeie der endometranen Adenomyosis, Arch. Cynāk. Bd. 124, S. 1, — Kleine, Extrauterine endometroide Wucherungen. Z. Geburtsh. Bd. 104, H. S. S. 32, auch Zhl. Chir. Bd. 33, S. 33. - Ders., Das Krinkheitsbild der Endometriose, Naturhist, med. Verein Heiskelberg um 4. Juni 35. — Kühler, Adenomyosis des Nabels, Zhl. Gynäk, Bd. 35, S. 27. - Komocki, Ein Fall von Endometrioma, Frankf. Z. Pathol, Bd. 30. -Konjetzny, Zur Kenninis der heterotopen Endometriose des Dickdarms. Chirurg ltd. 35. H. 9. S. 10. - Krebs. Menstruelles Endometrium der Fascia superficialis. Arch. Gynäk. Bd. 141, II. 1. - Lahm, Zur Adenomyosis des weiblichen Genitalapparates. Z. Geburtsh. Bd. 85, H. 2, S. 22. - Linden, Ober Adenomyosis, Zhl. Cynāk, Bd. 34, S. 24. - Lusuhi-Tokio, Über endometrische Adenomyose des Wurmfortsatzes, Vindows Arch. Bd. 250, S. 3. - Mankin, Beiträge zur Histogenese der Endometriome mit Hinweis auf eine besonders selten vurkommende Lokalisation un mittleren Oberschenkeldrittel, Arch. Cynäk, 1935, Bd. 159, S. 671-689. - Manzi, Transplantationsversuche, Münch, med. Widir. 21. Dez. 22. - Martin, Endometriose der Bauchwand nach Gravidität. Zhl. Chir. Bd. 32, S. 33. - Martius. Lehrhuch f. Gynäk. 1946. - Mastitz, Umprung und Ausbreitungswege des heterotopen Uternsepithels, Arch. Gynak, Bd. 130, S. 4. - Matyas, Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Endametriose, Zhl. Chir. Bd. 51, S. 34. — Metz, Endametriose unter dem Bible cines Rektum-Ka. Arch. Gynak. Bd. 141, H. 1. - Meyor, R., Zur Frage der Urnicrengenese von Adenomyumen. Zhl. Gynäk. Bd. 15, S. 23. - Ders., Zur Frage der heterotopen Epithelwucherung, Virchows Arch. Bd. 250, S. 3. - Ders., Bemerkungen über endometrische Adenomyna's uteri. (Bem. z. Sampson.) Zhl. Cynük. Bd. 45. S. 24. - Ders., 'Altere und neuere Gesichtspunkte über die Adenomyomohyperplasia uteri. Zhl. Cynak. Rd. 22, S. 25, - Ders. Uher Endametriosis in der Tube sowie über die entstehenden wirklichen und vermeintlichen Folgen. Ziel. Gynäk. Bd. 24. S. 27. — Michael, Endemetriosis recti. Zbl. Chir. Bd. 30. S. 28. — Mollinengo, Padometriosis der Regio cruralis, Zbl. Chir. Bd. 11. S. 31. — Navratil und Kramer. Endometriose in der Armmuskulatur. Klin. Wschr. 1936. Nr. 48. — Nebesky, Endometriose in der Laparotoniemarbe nach Sectio Parva. Wien. med. Wschr. Bd. 30. S. 30.—32. — Neumann, Salpingitis isthmica und Adenomyosis tubae. Arch. Gylink. 1959. Bd. 1, H. 2, S. 30. — Neuweiler, Beitrag zur Klinik der endometriosiem Wacherungen. Schweiz. med. Wschr. Bd. 22, S. 26. — Oehlecker, Endometriose der Hamblase. Zbl. Chir. Bd. 1, H. 2, S. 30. — Ders., Endometriose des Dames. Zbl. Chir. Bd. 41, S. 31. — Ders., Zur Endometriose der Fletura sigmoides how. des Rektums. Zbl. Chir. Bd. 2, S. 32. — Ottow, Wesen, Diagnose, Therapie der heterotopen Endometriose der weiblichen Hamblase. Zbl. Gynäk. Bd. 49, S. 29. — Petri, Klinik und Pathogenese der Endometriose. Diss. Halle 1937, Verlag Karl Nieft. Bleicherode. — Ders., Endometriose im Bruchsack bei Hernia eruralis. Zbl.

Chir. Bd. 9, S. 40. - Ders., Zur Endometriosenfrage. Med. Welt 1939, Nr. 32 u. 34. - Ders., Über die traumatische Pathogenese der Endometriose. (Zugleich ein neuer Beitrag zu den "fernen" Endometriosen.) Z. Geburtsh. Bd. 131, H. 3, S. 267-275. -Philipp und Huber, Die Klinik der Endometriose im Lichte neuer Forschungsergelmisse. Zbl. Chir. Bd. 9, S. 39. - Die s., Die Entstehung der Endometriese. Zbl. Gynäk, Bd. 1, 5, 69. — Philipp, Zur Klinik der Endensetriese, Zhi, Gynäk, 1987, Bd. 1. - Ders., Zhl. Gynäk. 1934, S. 1856. - Plaut, Adenomyosis der Hamblase. Zbl. Cynäk. Bd. 49, S. 29. - Prager, Ein Fall von Endometriose am Damin. 261. Gynäk. Bd. 15, S. 32. - Rieck und Hueter, Über ein Endometrium in der Baudmarbe eines Latzka-Kaiserschnittes. Altona, Ärztl. Verein am 4. Jan. 28. -Rieck, Endometriose. Ärztl. Verein zu Altona 31. - Ders., Bemerkungen zum Aufsatz von Habbe, Zhl. Gynäk, Bd. 25, S. 31. - Ders., Endometriose in einem bleben Nabelbruch, Arztlicher Verein zu Altona am 26. April 33. - Ders., Zur Genese der Endometriebe. Zhl. Cyniik. Bd. 45, S. 33. - Rosenlöcher, Anatomische und klinische Erfahrungen an Hand von 65 Fällen von Endometriesis. Arch. Gynäk. Bd. 149, H. 2. - Rosenstein, Zur Kasuistik und Atiologie der Adeno-Throsis in Laparotomienarlaen. Machr. Gehurtah. Bd. 70, Nr. 8, H. 6, S. 25. - Sampson, Peritoneale Endometriose infolge menstrueller Aussaat von Endometrium in lie Bauchhöhle. Amer. J. of Obst. and Gyn. Bd. 27, II. 14, S. 4. - v. Sauer. Über einen Fall von Endometriose der Harnblase. Z. Ceburtsh. Bd. 6, S. 33. - Schärr and Scheidegger. Uler extragenitale Endometriosen. Brun's Beiträge zur klin. Chir. Bd. 160, H. 2, S. 34, - Schindler, Zur Frage der Adenomyosis der Genitalrgane der Frau. Frankf. Zeitschr. für Pathologie Bd. 32, S. 25. - Sehmid. Natüradie und künstliche Verlagerung der Uternsschleinhant, Verein Deutscher Ärzte in Ders, Künstliche Fudometriosis, Ardi, Gynik, Bd. 155, Yaq am 10 Jum 39 1. 1. S. 31. Sehrader, Lehduch der Gynakologie 1947. - Schuler, Heur hach endouetreche Wacherung der Dannward, Z. Chir. Bd. 7, S. 31. - Schulz. für Frage der endometrischen Wiederungen in funktioneller, morphologischer und atwakhingsmechanischer Hinsicht, Z. Geburtsh, Bd. 156, H. 2. - Seitz, Über Genese, Klinik und Therapie der Endometriose. Münch, med. Wichr. am 6. Mai 32. - Ders., Zur pathologischen Anatomie und zur Klinik der Endometriosis der Tube. Abl. Cynäk, Bd. 29, S. 32. - Ders., Über Genese, Klinik und Therapie der Endometriose. Mschr. Geburtsh. Bd. 92, H. 1/2, S. 32. - Ders., Klinik der Endometriosis. Abl. Cynik. Bd. 1, S. 33. - Settergreen, Uber Endometriose in der Hamblase. Abl. Chir. Bd. 33, S. 34. - Spirito. Beitrag zur Genese der Endometriome. Müsch. ned. Wichr. v. 24. Febr. 28. Ital. Literatur. - Steichele, Über die heterotopen endometrischen Wucherungen, Münch, med. Wichr. v. 11. Dez. 1925. — Stübler. Die Bedeutung der Adenofibrasis und Adenomyasis des weiblichen Genitale für die Chirurgie. Zhl. Chir. Bd. 47, S. 24. - Ders. u. Hacuber. Die heterotope endonetrische Epithelwucherung im weiblichen Genitalapparat. Arch. Gyn. Rd. 124, S. 2. - Szanek. Über die Endometriese in der Tube. Zhl. Gynäk. Bd. 13, S. 28, Ferasaki, Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Endometriesis, Virchows Arch. Bd. 269, H. S. - U bermuth, Endonetriese der verderen Scheidenwand, Zhl. Gynäk, Bd. 11 a. 5. 31. - Vaßmer, Über Adenolibrusis in Laparotomienarben, Arch. Gynäk, Bd. 123, 5. 1. - Vogt, Das Krankheitsbild der heterotopen endometrium-ähnlichen Epithelvudierung nach der Theorie von Sampson und Lauche, Zhl. Cynäk, Bd. 34, 5. 24. - Dors., Uber das Ademonyem und die Adenomynsis. Münch. med. Wschr. v. 27. Nov. 31. - Wala, Reterotope endometrische Wucherungen bei Kruralheraie. Stuttg. Iratl. Verein v. 6. Okt. 27. - Walz, Zur Therapie der endometrischen Wucherung. Zhl. Gynäk. Bd. 29, S. 28. - Westmann, Beitrag zur Klinik und

Pathologie der Adenomyume und Adenomyometritis. Arch. Gynäk. Bd. 116, S. 2. — Willod, Beitrag zur Entstehung heterotoper Uterusschleimhaut. Arch. Gynäk. Bd. 124. S. 1. — Zacher, Ausführliches Referat über Endometriosis und ihre praktische Bedeutung. Arat. Verein Nürnberg v. 21. Mai 1931.